

Participants	Naouar Labidi (gFSC); Fabien Tallec (FSC), Paul Kinuthia (WVI); Alberto Bigi (FAO), Thomas Ølholm (NRC), Davide Rossi (gFSC)
Absent	Ilaria Dettori (WFP), Joanne Grace, (SCI), Anne-Lise Lavaur (SI);

Agenda points	Key issues/Action points
GPM MEETING FEEDBACK	<p>FEEDBACK: Generally the GPM went very well, in terms of sessions, contents, technical support (no major technical issues faced). The use of translation/interpretation was very well appreciated as well (specially French speaking countries), and was very friendly/easy to use. Engagement of FSC partners increased (about 220 participant on day1) and participation has been high throughout 3days; important increase compared to last year. Breakout rooms sessions worked also very well, allowing more direct engagement and inputs from the audience.</p> <p>CONSIDERATION FOR THE NEXT MEETINGS: Three half days is not enough to be able to discuss (decisions), but at the same time can be perceived very engaging for some participants. gFSC and SAG to continue exploring the different options or approaches (e.g. GNC Global meeting is split now into one entire week/10days, or some events start to have many "side event" or "virtual tea rooms"to discuss certain topics). There is a need to take into consideration that the audience has changed compared to the face-to-face meetings (GPM were normally organised in Rome with about 100 participants mainly coming from HQs): virtual GPM, while being much more inclusive in terms of participation, specially from the field, does not allow human interaction.</p> <p>Limitations with the platform: translation not recorded, and translation not possible in virtual breakout rooms.</p>
MID TERM REVIEW	<p>Reiterated that the final product should be lighter than last years. gFSC team to develop a workplan up to July for the finalisation of the MTR. to consider also WFP/FAO STRATEGIES (e.g. attention given to the NEXUS, etc)</p> <p>Process will include the review of the inputs collected during day2, SAG to decide the most relevant to be included. .</p>
WGs	<p>There is a need to discuss more in detail the work of the WGs (chairs' engagement, roles, objectives); important platforms to discuss technical issues and to get the buy in from partners</p>
gFSC Cluster coordinators	<p>Partners requested clarifications on the gFSC Coordinator change and new structure - SAG suggested gFSC to send out a message or communique to all partners on gFSC new structure (2 Global cluster coordinators); division of roles not clear yet, FAO/WFP are discussing a letter of intent</p>
NEXT MEETING	<p>Monday 21 of June - 15:00 - 16:00 to review the Mid term review inputs</p>