

Participants	Bruno Minjauw (gFSC), Ilaria Dettori (WFP), Alberto Bigi (FAO); Thomas Ølholm (NRC), Julie Mayans (SI), Joanne Grace, (SCI); Fabien Tallec (FSC-CC Haiti); Paul Ki
Absent	no
Agenda points	Key issues/Action points
Welcome to the new members- expectations	<p>Some expectations of the new SAG members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discussing, monitoring and contributing to the operationalisation of the gFSC Strategy - Strengthen the voices of the NGOs - Intersectoral coordination (nutrition) - Encourage partnership - HQ/ Field connection challenges to be addressed
Global Partners' meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Proposition: one face-to-face meeting + one virtual (to consider costs and carbon footprint) - Participation & inclusiveness: to ensure more diversity at gFSC (e.g. presentations, translations, etc), especially now that the meeting is virtual and many actors from the field are participating (consider also the use of practical tools such as "zoom's automatic translator"); - To consider virtual tools such break out sessions, also to ensure the "networking side" of GPM that is not easy to have when virtual - Key issues: anticipatory action, localisation, advocacy, "kivu test" (most vulnerable), + climate change, food systems - Unpack some of the key issues (advocacy, climate change) etc. what does it mean for the SAG: this can be addressed during the review of the gFSC strategy next meeting and reach a consensus on which key issues are for us to bring ahead and how - Area based coordination can be a topic to follow up, how to standardise it?, what is the role of the Cluster and how the SAG can be supportive (proposed discussion for the upcoming months),
Global network/SAF collaboration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Network of data VS network of people - there is a need to better understand how SAG can be involved and be supportive to the TSU as well as to the partners at field level - there are still question marks: how SAG can facilitate the work of TSU with partners, Involvement of partners into the GNAFC (SSG level? TSU level?) B8etc. - Network works very much at global level, suggestion to have a better G7 clarity on SAG/TSU work before sending msg to the field and better understanding the space for partners before raising expectations - Good to keep GNAFC as an agenda point (no need to have representatives of the TSU every time, but an update, also taking advantage of Bruno's participation of the SSG) - Once new workplan will be shared would be good to have a look at it and suggest the "cluster role" to the TSU
Technical WG	<p>Main points on the WGs (see PPT in attachment)</p> <p>General comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - WGs exist to make the work of FSC teams & partners in the field easier (not to re-invent guidances all the time, technical support from technical agencies, etc) - WGs can be closed, there is no issue with that in case of decrease of interest (it is part of the dynamic) - Ensure that technical products are circulated more widely - Ensure the presence of the FSC CCs in the field to increase linkages - Inter-cluster Nutrition WG to be re-assessed (there are discussions with GNC to have only one under the Nutrition Cluster but without having co-leadership with FSC) maybe a meeting with both SAG can be organised in the future
New membership	Four new members have applied to be Global partners: all passed due diligence (as per CLAs feedback) SAG endorse
NEXT MEETING	11th of January 2020