


### Q1 Would you like to identify which Partner Organization you are representing?


Answered: 25 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes (Please write the title of your Organization in the space below)	68.00%	17
No, I would rather stay anonymous	32.00%	8
<b>Total</b>		<b>25</b>

## Q2 Is your organization an active member of the gFSC?


Answered: 25 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	96.00%	24
No, because we are not interested	0.00%	0
No because we do not have enough human resources to dedicate to the gFSC	4.00%	1
No but we would like to get more engaged	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>25</b>

**Q3 2. Does your organization have a leadership role in the gFSC (i.e. Working Groups, activities, strategic plan development, etc.)?**


Answered: 26 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	57.69%	15
No, because we are not interested	3.85%	1
No because we do not have enough human resources to dedicate to the gFSC	19.23%	5
No but we would like to get more engaged	19.23%	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>26</b>

**Q4 Do you represent the interests of the gFSC and advocate its priorities with other stakeholders?**


Answered: 26 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	53.85% 14
No	11.54% 3
Sometimes	30.77% 8
Not sure how to	3.85% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>

**Q5 Does your organization at headquarters level encourage your country-level colleagues to participate in the country-level Food Security Clusters?**


Answered: 26 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes regularly	73.08% 19
Sometimes	19.23% 5
No	3.85% 1
Not sure how to make the connections	3.85% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>

**Q6 To what extent do you share information received from the gFSC with your country offices?**


Answered: 26 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses	
Regularly	46.15%	12
Sometimes	53.85%	14
Never	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>26</b>

### Q7 On which frequency would you like the Working Groups' face-to-face meetings to be followed by the gFSC partners' meeting?


Answered: 25 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses
Once a year WG face to face + partners' meeting back-to-back	4.00% 1
Twice a year WG face to face + partners' meeting back-to-back	60.00% 15
Once a year WG face to face + partners' meeting back-to-back + a second time per year just for WG face to face	36.00% 9
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>

**Q8 At the last face-to-face meeting it was stressed that the Working Group meetings lacked enough time to hold strategic discussions and for decision-making. How much time would you like to be dedicated to the Working Group meetings?**

Answered: 24 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses
One full day per WG	58.33% 14
Half day per WG	25.00% 6
Half day per WG but with more time dedicated during the Global Partners' meeting plenary sessions	16.67% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>



**Q9 Which of the following gFSC products do you believe are useful in informing your organization on gFSC related work, and/or would like to see them improved and further developed?**


Answered: 25 Skipped: 3



	Absolutely not useful	Not useful	Somewhat useful	Very useful	Extremely useful	Total	Weighted Average
Lessons Learned from Country Clusters	4.00% 1	4.00% 1	36.00% 9	32.00% 8	24.00% 6	25	3.68
gFSC Annual Report	4.00% 1	12.00% 3	56.00% 14	24.00% 6	4.00% 1	25	3.12
People Centric Issues & AAP Documents	4.00% 1	0.00% 0	32.00% 8	48.00% 12	16.00% 4	25	3.72
gFSC Indicators	4.00% 1	8.00% 2	36.00% 9	32.00% 8	20.00% 5	25	3.56
IASC Documents and Guidance	0.00% 0	16.00% 4	32.00% 8	48.00% 12	4.00% 1	25	3.40
gFSC Dashboard (Draft shared during the last Global Partners Meeting)	0.00% 0	8.70% 2	30.43% 7	47.83% 11	13.04% 3	23	3.65

### Q10 Do you feel that you are getting sufficient information on the IASC activities below?


Answered: 23 Skipped: 5



	Yes	No	Total	Weighted Average
HPC Guidelines	63.64% 14	36.36% 8	22	1.36
IM Working Group	57.14% 12	42.86% 9	21	1.43
Monitoring Working Group	28.57% 6	71.43% 15	21	1.71
Global Cluster Coordinator Retreat and Teleconferences	66.67% 14	33.33% 7	21	1.33
IASC Urban Reference Group	42.86% 9	57.14% 12	21	1.57
Task Team on AAP	52.17% 12	47.83% 11	23	1.48
Task Team on Preparedness & Resilience (including the index for risk management)	50.00% 11	50.00% 11	22	1.50
Task Force on Needs Assessments (including MIRA)	60.87% 14	39.13% 9	23	1.39

**Q11 Do you feel that the gFSC has been so far effective in including partners' views in its strategic decisions?**


Answered: 25 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes, very effective	48.00%	12
Somewhat effective	44.00%	11
Not effective	8.00%	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>25</b>

**Q12 Do you feel that you are given enough opportunities to share your organization's updates and information through the gFSC communication means?**


Answered: 24 Skipped: 4



	Yes very much	Yes but not enough	Not at all	Total	Weighted Average
Monthly Teleconferences	70.83% 17	20.83% 5	8.33% 2	24	1.38
gFSC Newsletter	45.83% 11	16.67% 4	37.50% 9	24	1.92
gFSC Website & Social Media	41.67% 10	33.33% 8	25.00% 6	24	1.83
Working Group's Teleconferences	65.22% 15	26.09% 6	8.70% 2	23	1.43

**Q13 The gFSC current governance structure is appropriate to respond to current partners' needs.**


Answered: 24 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	8.33%	2
Agree	33.33%	8
Neutral	41.67%	10
Disagree	12.50%	3
Strongly Disagree	4.17%	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>

**Q14 Are you familiar with the function of a Strategic Advisory Group (SAG) at global level (e.g. the Global Nutrition Cluster SAG Terms of Reference)?**


Answered: 25 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	60.00%	15
No	40.00%	10
<b>Total</b>		<b>25</b>

### Q15 Do you think that a Strategic Advisory Group would add some value to the way you engage with the gFSC?


Answered: 23 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses
No, I am happy with the current governance structure and means of engagement	21.74% 5
Not really, there are some gaps to be addressed but the SAG is not the appropriate	8.70% 2
Yes it could be useful to improve partner's engagement by establishing a SAG	69.57% 16
<b>Total</b>	<b>23</b>

**Q16 If you are in favor of SAG, does your organization have time and financial resources to play a more active role in the gFSC?**

Answered: 20 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	50.00%	10
No	50.00%	10
<b>Total</b>		<b>20</b>